

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,434	10/23/2003	Osamu Miyagawa	251002008830	2141
	7590 05/25/2007 : FOERSTER LLP		EXAM	INER
1650 TYSONS	BOULEVARD		LANGEL, V	WAYNE A
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>.</b> ,			1754	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/691,434	MIYAGAWA, OSAMU		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Wayne Langel	. 1754		
David fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •	V 10 05T TO EVDIDE 6 A	AONTHAN OF THEFTY (20) FAVO		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Digensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI (a), cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	lovember 2006.	•		
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7-16 is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	n All b) Some * c) None of:				
·	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have beer	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
			•		
Attachmer	• •	A C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Surrey (DTO 442)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔯 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-13-06</u> .		Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/691,434

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

See the reasons given in the Office Action mailed March 10, 2006. Applicant's argument, that nothing in claims 67-81 in the original application was surrendered to obtain the allowance of claims 67-81 since claims 67-81 did not issue in the original application, is not convincing, since claims 67-81 were surrendered in their entirety to obtain the allowance of patented claims 1-6. In any event, the instant situation passes the three step test for recapture set forth in MPEP 1412.02 I. Firstly, the reissue claims are broader than the patent claims since a party could not be sued for infringement of the product based on the process claims of the original patent. (See MPEP 1412.03 I.)

Art Unit: 1754

Secondly, the broader aspect of the reissue claims relates to surrendered subject matter, since claims 67-81 in the original application were surrendered in their entirety to obtain the allowance of patented claims 1-6. And thirdly, the omission of the limitation that the product was produced by any one of the methods of claims 43-48 is impermissible recapture, even though the instant claims include other limitations making the reisuue claims narrower than the patent claims in other aspects. (See MPEP 1412.02 I C.)

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The Declaration submitted by applicants does not state that the person making the Declaration is the "original and first" inventor. The Declaration also does not properly identify at least one 35 USC 251 error being relied upon as a basis for the reissue. The Declaration merely states that claim 67 of the original application, which is directed to a crystalline turbostratic boron nitride includes an additional, non-neccesary limitation that the crystalline turbostratic boron nitride is produced by any one of the methods of claims 43-48, which is corrected by the addition of claim 7. However there is no nexus between this situation and the validity or invalidity of patent claims 1-6. MPEP 1412.01 states that a reissue applicant's failure to timely file a divisional application covering the non-elected invention in response to a restriction requirement is not considered to be error causing a patent granted on the elected claims to be partially inoperative by reason of claiming less than the applicant had a right to claim. It is submitted that, in accordance with these holdings, a reissue applicant's failure to file a divisional application which is

Application/Control Number: 10/691,434

Art Unit: 1754

not in response to a restriction requirement would also not be considered error causing a patent granted on the patented claims to be partially inoperative by reason of claiming less than the applicant had a right to claim.

Claims 1-16 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue Declaration under 35 USC 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defects in the Declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office Action.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.173 (d). The preliminary amendment filed on October 23, 2003 is improper in that there is no underlining of the newly presented paragraph in the specification.

Claims 7-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.173 (d). The claims are improper in that they are not underlined.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,434

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Langel

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1754